



Implementation and Performance Management group

Ipsen, Christine; Edwards, Kasper; Poulsen, Signe; Seim, Rikke

Publication date:
2017

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Ipsen, C., Edwards, K., Poulsen, S., & Seim, R. (2017). *Implementation and Performance Management group*. Poster session presented at 2017 European Association of Work and Organizational Psychology, Dublin, Ireland.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Visualization Methods

The aim of the research project was to support social healthcare workplaces with methods to establish coherence between strategic management decisions regarding prevention of Musculoskeletal Disorders (MSD) and the operational work related preventive changes implemented in the organization.

Research question:
What characterize participatory methods that can establish coherence between strategic management decisions and preventive interventions?

Project Overview


The study was divided into 8 steps:

- 1) Phone survey of practice in Danish municipalities
- 2) In-depth interviews with 3 extreme cases
- 3) Develop method
- 4) Conceptual test in three organizations
- 5) Refine method and develop toolbox
- 6) Hearing with three top managers in elderly care
- 7) Final revision

Theory

The study builds on the known risk factors for developing MSD in combination with the theory of explication of **tacit knowledge** (Nonaka et al, 2002) by the use of **boundary objects** (Carlile, 2002).

The purpose of the boundary objects is to facilitate at participatory (Roskam,2009) transfer and transformation of general descriptions of reducing MSD risk factors from a strategic level to concrete interventions at the operational level and back again to the evaluation.



Procedure

The test was done in two work environment department in two municipalities and one hospital ward. First, the purpose was to evaluate if the users were comfortable using the methods. 1) Workplaces received the material, 2) Read and discussed the material at a staff meeting, 3) had a phone interview with the researchers. 4) Decisions were made. Second, the methods were tested in the organizations.



Results

The identified visualization methods focus each on specific risk factors of MSD but when combined, they offer a holistic insight in to the work-related causes to MSD at the workplace. The new knowledge formed the basis for focused work-related preventive changes. The test participants found the methods applicable in relation to create coherence between strategy and practice.

Conclusion

Collective and multi-level visualization methods can generate new knowledge about work-related causes to MSD, identification of new preventive changes and link these to the preventive MSD strategy.

Works Cited

Carlile, P.R., 2002. A Pragmatic View of Knowledge and Boundaries. Organ. Sci.

Nonaka, I., Toyama, R., Konno, N., 2002. SECI, Ba, and Leadership, in: Nonaka, I., Teece, D. (Eds.), *Managing Industrial Knowledge - Creation, Transfer and Utilization*. pp. 13–43.

Roskam, E., 2009. *Using Participatory Action Research Methodology to Improve Worker Health*, Baywood Publishing Company, Amityville, New York, pp. 211–228